G.7.27-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

G.7 { 5-81, 3-82, 5-86, 16-87, 8-85

Later references.

82-91

1889-90

~~191~~

(G. 7.)

File No. 8-89.

Serial Nos. 1-8

P67

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for August 1890.

SUBJECT.

P.67

Bonus to Messrs. Barker and Butcher, Assistant Settlement officers, Mapore Survey

To The Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.
The Comptroller.

Order. No. 4966-7/72 dated 13 August 1890

S.H. Sanctions the bonus to Mr. E. H. Butcher, and adds as to the same for Mr. J. P. Barker, Sanction of the Government of India has been applied for.

countermarked

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Indexed P.C.

Memorandum on the probable time required to complete the Survey and Settlement of the Mysore Province

The gross area of on the best available data, which however are by no means very perfect as regards the unsettled portion, is in round numbers 14½ millions of acres.

2 Up to date 11 millions of acres have been measured and 11½ millions have been cleared

3 Thus there remain 3½ millions of acres still to be measured & work which, at present strength, will require 5 years.

4 And there are still 6 millions of acres to be cleared & work which at present strength would take about 9 years.

5 But as the measure-

ment is in advance of the classificat.n by about 2½ millions of acres (equal to about 4 years' work at present strength) it is quite evident that the relative strength of the two branches will have to undergo chang the classing branch will have to be increased and the measuring branch reduced. But as one Classing Establishment turns out at least double the area that a measuring Establishment does, the increase of one classing Establishment and the reduction of one, and in time of two, measuring Establishments will have a proportionately enhanced effect in bringing the classification up to the measurement.

6 So long as the Classification, and consequently of course the Settlement can keep pace

pace with the measure=ment, it would be the worst possible economy to reduce the last men=tioned but, as matters stand, it will not be possible to allow the measurement to go on at the present rate.

7 There are at present 7 measuring and 3 classing Establishments. Next year I hope to see those numbers 6 and 4 respect=ively and the year after that 5 and 4. As the completion of the Province is approached the measuring branch will be almost entirely ab=sorbed while the classing branch cannot, in true economy, be too strong.

8 It is difficult to estimate the precise date when reduction can safely be effected. As I have already stated reduction should

take place the measuring branch alone and that only when it absolutely outstrips the classification and settlement. The first reduction will probably be in the second year from this.

9 By strenuous efforts the measurement and classification can, I think be completed in 8 years and then there would remain only the Settlement. Of course before that time there would be considerable reduction in the strength and cost of the Department. The work still before us comprises some tracts presenting considerable difficulties in the matter of small holdings as well as

in natural obstacles but it is at the same time the part of the Province where a Survey is most needed and where the results are most likely to benifit the revenue.

10 I attach a Memorandum on another subject which may be of interest, viewed collaterally with the subject of the present Memo. I allude to the general financial results of the Survey and Settlement so far as those operations have been carried out

J D Grant

Superintendent
Mysore Resi Survey

Survey Supdt's Office
Bangalore 4th January 1882

24 6

Subject

Messrs. Boulcher & Baker's claim for bonus.

Memorandum

The forecast of survey operations in Mysore approved by the Govt. of India necessitated the retirement of Messrs. Barker and Boulcher and they have accordingly been retired from the 1st November 1889.

The Superintendent Revenue Survey in his letter No. 495 dy 13th November 1889 recommended that these officers be granted each a bonus of 3 months pay in consideration of the good service rendered by them to the State.

To the following officers of the Mysore Revenue Survey bonuses have been granted on their retirements

Colonel Price, Major Lawrence: Mr. A. G. Hudson Col Mackenzie and Col Cowie.

The grant of bonus to Colonel Cowie attracted

Mem Criticised & Recommended

See details in Separate paper

attracted the notice of the Resident and explanation was asked for on the subject. Finally the Government decided* on a reference made by the Resident that bonus should not be granted in future to the British officers on their retirement without the previous sanction of Government of India.

If the Devan is pleased to grant bonus to Messrs. Butcher and Barker Government of India has to be moved through the Resident for the sanction

22/6/90

Papers have been kept for long time - call for orders are requested

Forwarded to Mr Chentsal Rao from Pune for information

I have once made a reference to the Govt and the opinion forwarded on which the recommended the grant of bonus to Messrs Butcher and Barker is solicited.

Vide Apltt. Resr
letter No 796/2067 dt 7 march 1888

Vide Apltt. Resident's
letter No 2464/3164 dt 20 July 1888

in his letter of 23 July 1890.

There are several precedents where that the Army Offrs, whose services were dispensed with by the Govt, were granted bonuses

In the case of Barker he was entitled to 2 months 28 days furlough when he left us. The 3 months pay may be granted to him, I think, subject to approval of Govt of India

In the case of Butcher we have to pay his pension - Local Offr. He was not entitled to any furlough leave when he was retired. He was 54 yrs old when we dispensed with his services. He might have been allowed to hold on for another year - till he attained his 55th year. He complains that he had lost a year's pay by his compulsory retirement. Taking this into consideration & the fact that all the Army Offrs whose services were previously dispensed with were allowed bonuses, I think we may allow him 3 months pay, etc, I draw him by Govt

Memorandum on the general Financial results of the introduction of the Survey Settlement in the Mysore Province

---

The Mysore Province contains 69 Taluks. Of these 34 were settled up to the close of 1880-81. The first Taluks were settled in 1864-65 and progress has been made at the rate of about 3 Taluks a year.

2 The Land Revenue of the whole Province in 1867-68 reached the sum of Rs. 75,98,954 the highest ever known. In 1880-81 the Land Revenue came only

Rupees 6,93,132 shew-
-ing a falling off, since
1867-68, of nearly
Lacs.

In the 34 settled
Taluks the Land Rev.
in 1867-68, the same
year in which the
Land Revenue for
the whole Province
reached the highest
point ever known,
amounted to Rupees
36,89,045 and in 1880-81
was Rs. 36,06,011. Append-
-ed is a Statement shew-
-ing the collections year
by year since 1865-66
but the following figures
in Abstract show how
the introduction of
the Survey has affected
the stability of the
revenue.

Year	Revenue of whole Province	Revenue of 34 Surveyed Taluks	Balance revenue of unsettled Taluks.
1	2	3	4
1867-68	7598954	3689045	3909909
1880-81	6931132	3606011	3325121
Decrease	667822	83034	584788

The above comparison is not intended to compare Survey — figures with Survey figures but to show that, but for the introduction of the Survey the decrease of nearly 7 Lacs — since 1867-68 would in all probability have amounted to 12 or 13 Lacs. In the intermediate years between 1867-68 and 1880-81 it will be seen, from the — Statement appended, that the collections of the settled Taluks on more than one occasion exceeded the collections of 1867-68, for instance in 1875-76 they — amounted to Rupees 38,30,175 It is true

no doubt that amongst the settled Taluks — there no a large proportion of Malnad where there was a gain by the introduction of the Survey which has never been lost, the country being beyond the influence of the Famine, but on the other hand there is the whole of the Chitaldroog District and other plain Taluks where the Famine was severely felt.

The figures in Col: 2 of the Abstract Statement which heads this Para, are as furnished by the Dewan's Office and show lower realizations than appear in the Annual Administration Reports and are consequently less favourable

favourable to Survey results, but I have accepted them as correct. The figures in Col: 3 are absolute correct and have been gathered year by year and duly checked. The figures in Col: 4, showing the balance revenue in unsettled Taluks, are obtained by deducting the Survey Revenue from the Revenue of the whole Province.

year *	Revenue of whole Province	Revenue of *34 surveyed Taluks	Balance revenue of unsettled Taluks
1	2	3	4
1865-66	75,85,610	36,31,436	39,54,174
1866-67	72,42,422	36,19,148	36,23,274
1867-68	75,98,954	36,89,045	39,09,909
1868-69	73,53,180	36,43,173	37,10,007
1869-70	72,69,272	35,80,280	36,88,992
1870-71	72,91,497	36,28,227	36,63,270
1871-72	71,64,034	36,39,875	35,24,159
1872-73	72,09,453	36,60,112	35,49,341
1873-74	71,77,284	37,04,116	34,73,168

Remarks

5

		Number of Tks
1	Whole of the Chitaldroog Dt.	8
2	Whole of the Shimoga Dt.	8
3	Kadur District excluding the Mudgere Taluk	5
4	Belur and Harnhalli Taluks of the Hassan District	2
5	Part of Chamrajnagar of the Mysore District is left	

1874-75	73,51,268	37,52,387	35,98,881		out of calculation ——— " ———
1875-76	73,78,225	38,30,175	35,48,050	6	Sunkur District excluding the Kunigal & Kadaba Taluks — 6 —
1876-77	64,35,694	33,90,648	30,45,046		
1877-78	72,70,654	38,15,996	34,54,658	7	Gudibanda and Goribidnur Taluks of the Kolar District — 2 —
1878-79	73,00,677	37,09,214	35,91,463		
1879-80	69,75,406	35,89,190	33,86,216	8	Bangalore District Bangalore, Nelmangal and Magadi Taluks. ——— 3 ———
1880-81	69,31,132	36,06,011	33,25,121		
					Total 34

L. Grant

Superintendent
Mysore Rev. Survey

Section 8.

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

SUBMITTED TO THE DEWAN.

For Orders -

26

Survey Mists' Nos 495 & 613 Nov 89 & Remarks No 759 of 5th March 90

Messrs Barker & Butcher retired on 1st Nov 89 & Col Grant forwards their claim for each case for a bonus equal to 3 months pay -

Precedents are quoted. The details of those precedents are noted in the annexed note which had been prepared at the time was very much mixed up when it came to me, & the Undersigned does not remember full -

The precedents were all cases of Compulsory retirement and in the Cases explained in the Orders on Capt Price's Case in the Order on the Cases of Majors Lawrence & McCall & in the Appt Rendered

15

Misfeasances Case

The bonuses granted here "Jones" —

I don't know whether the Cases of Mess. Barker & Butcher are Cases of Compulsory retirement also. But the Decision is probably aware of the fact, & I submit the papers therefore without reading further than in finding out the fact from the forecast "Byles" Finney Jenkinson Mynn" & Colpoys & alludes pithent citing the B/C

If a bonus is decided on, Abell's suit need be made a test Case by Mr Lynch

As to Mackenzies Case the bonus will amount to £2483-10 but in addition £67-14 which they drew as the difference between their Pub Duty Emoluments. The bonus will therefore amount to £2280. as shown below:—

600 + 100 = 760 × 3 = 2280.

All three marked with reference in my note

27 June 20

15

Misfeasances Case

The licences granted were "Jones"

I don't know whether to cancel Messrs Barker & Butcher's licences as Compulsory Retirement does not. But the Director is probably aware of the fact, & I submit the papers thereon without troubling further until we hear anything from the Forecast "Fifty" Fifty Pensions Supplies without citing the R/C

Falconus is decided on, & the need be made by Secretary Instructions -

As to Mackenzies Case the licences will amount to £2483-10 less Pension amount £67-14 which they drew as Pensioners between their Civil Duties & Enlistments. The licences will be in place amounts to £2280. as shown below:-

600 + 600 = 760 x 3 = 2280

(1) All three Marked with Pencil in my note

(2)

A bonus of 3 months pay was award[ed] to Col Thompson & Capt Hoog & of the Lake Huron Company in July 81

A number bonus of 3 months pay calculated at the rate of the salary they were drawing when they were transferred from the Hyp Comm was award[ed] in each case to Dr Benson & Hagyard by Sir Jas Lowry Wilson

Capt Bridges of the Revenue Survey Marine & the Hyd Dept at his own request - He sought for 3 months furlough because he was declined with a view that a Commendation for granting him his furlough - his full pay & the pay drawn by him in the Survey Dept for 3 months while on furlough considered - Col Grant recommended Capt Prices claim for 3 months pay with. - Lyons & Capt Prices proof were sent without recommendation

No 3533 of 29 July 81
209

No 8270 of 20 Jan 82
575

#11

the bonus claimed. The
Hon therein remarked
that the bonus of 3 months
pay has been allowed only
to those persons hereto employed
in the Magnetic comms on the
occasion of them being thrown
out of work - It cannot be
known many more those
employment is generally
of a temporary nature,
in the present case Capt
Price leaves the Dept at his
own request & does not know even
what ford services as expected
by the Survey Dept & thus
may lose the benefit
they have all the merits
case is pleased to sanction
the payment to him of a
bonus of equal to two
months pay without Centage

The next on the list
is the case of Major Lawrence
of the Rev Survey whose ser-
vices were dispensed with
on his return from furlough
He claimed 8 months pay
as bonus; has to this claim
Major Wyke (Asst Res Jobs
served) The opinion of the

re 27826 & 15 July 82
39

on this letter see 683 & 2 July
331
1883

"Capt Comm^{ds} in the
"Ord Dept on their removal
"from the Service came
"in the matter of Compensation
"under the operation of certain
"Rules adopted June 9 1864
"by the Secretary of War
"the Secretary. These rules
"were not made applicable
"to Officers of the Revenue
"Survey. as their services
"were not to be dispensed
"with at the end of the
"Rebellion" Major Wylie
"went on to suggest that it
"would perhaps be better for Major
"Laurence to ask for some
"Compensation in lieu of
"Appointment as a matter of
"favor from the Secretary
"of War Major Laurence
accordingly addressed to
the Secretary of War the communi-
cation in matter of "favor" of
Col Grant supported the
application as being based upon
stronger grounds than
Capt Price

Major McCalla [illegible]
to the Ord Dept from no
desire or fault of his own
but explaining the reason

- many loss to the men as
but claimed a sum of
12 months' pay and
a contribution of 18 times
the difference between the Military
pay & the pay he was
drawing in the Depot
Remount Service here
Inclosure - Col Grant
supported the claim -

The order in these two
Cases (Maydan & Mayn
McCally) observed "The
"rules for the payment of
"sums of 3 months pay
" & the difference
"duly payable only
"to the Officers permanently
"employed in the Depot
"Corps" - They cannot
"be extended to Officers
"Officers who are employed
"was evidently intended
"to be - But having
"regard to the sudden
"manner in which the ser-
"vices of Maydan & the
"Major McCally have had
"to be replaced at the time of
"the mutiny Dept H.Q. the
"Make Major is pleased
"to sanction to each of these

No 4266-90 of 13 Nov 83

- many loss to the heirs
but claimed a bonus
of 3 months pay and
a compensation of 18 times
the diff^ce between the Indian
pay & the pay he was
drawing in the Depot
Remembrancer here
Jensen - Col Grant
supported the claim

The orders in these two
cases (Maydan^e & Major
McCally) obtained + the
rules for the pay of a
term of 3 months pay
& of the diff^ce between
duly pay-table only
to the officers permanently
employed in the Depot
Commissariat - They cannot
be extended to Brevet
officers whose employ
was strictly Native
Native - Both Maydan
& the Indian
manner in the Orders
of Maydan^e &
Major McCally have had
their claims disposed
of the Military Dept. & the
Secretary of State
has declined to sanction

No 4266-90 & 13 Nov 83

Messrs Adams of 3 months pay (exclusive of travelling allowance) as compensation for the loss of their appointments in the Bryon Reef survey.

Mr Hudsons case has the next. As decided by the Survey Dept (in his No 47 5th Sept 85) the Co[y] under which Mr Hudson has been transferred were not known in all respects to those under which the Messrs of the Survey Dept[t] had been transferred previously. But the transfer of Dept[t] some contingent expenses Mr Hudson & Co[y] Grant supported the application for leave on the receipt of work done by Mr Hudson. The leave of 3 months pay was sanctioned in respect of work done by Mr Hudson

Adm[y] No 876-7 of 10 Sept 85

Mr Hudson that Col Mackenzies terms were engaged the return by the Dunbar Castle the 1st Nov 86, accordingly in August 86

the A.G.P.W. was requested
to cause arrangements
to be made for replacing
his services at the disposal
of the Mily Dept. Claim-
for 3 months pay as bonus
was made & supported,
and sanctioned. The
amount was Rs 2483-10-4

Vide Cambrai 55-6
& 25 Feb 86

The next case of conspicuous
gallantry was that of Lt
Cowie - The date of which
Letter sent was 1st Nov.
1887. & Lt Cowie claimed
bonus of elephant & supported
it with high praise Col Cowie
Govt - Bonus of Rs 483-10
Equal to three months pay
was sanctioned -

Order No 1371-72
& 3 Dec 87.

The letter of the Hon'ble
the Mountain Rhine attracted
the attention of the President
Objection was taken by Govt
without previous sanction
of Govt of India, the objection
was met by cases & precedents
which guided the Decision
& in the end a ruling of the
Govt of India was council to
the effect that previous sanc-
-tion should be sought in all
such similar cases

27/6/90

22

53

Note

1. The total service of her Husband is 30 months.— or 2 1/2 years
That of her Father is 24 1/2 months.— or 2 years.—

2. I do not think we can give them a larger gratuity than 2 1/2 months pay for the 1st man, & 2 months pay for the 2d man.—

A.L.
3/8/90

V3

Note

W. M. Rae

To what pension is W. Butcher entitled?

What is his total pension of service?

Why has compulsory retirement at 55 been kept on till he attained his 55th year when pension has to be submitted?

4/8/90

2

To a Compensation Pension, as his post was abolished on re-organisation.

W. Butcher entered Mysore service on 18th Dec. 1863. I have no information regarding the time he has spent on leave. His total service amounts to 25 years & 11 months.

3

He will complete his 55th year on 28th Dec. 90. His pecuniary loss by being made to retire on 1st Nov. 89 amounts to Rs 12475 yearly (being his pay & allowances of Rs 895 per month for 13 months 28 days)

M. R.
4/8/90

(14)

Statement showing the actual services of the undermentioned Officers since their return from last privilege leave & the amount of privilege leave due to them on the date of their leaving the Imperial service.

Names	Date of return from last privilege leave	Period of actual service				Period of breaks		Period		Total Period of service on which leave is claimed		Remarks
		From	To	Mns	Dys	From	To	Mns	Dys	Mns	Dys	
Mr C. N. Pentelow	13th October 1886	14th October 1886	30th November 1888	25	18	Furlough						Is entitled to privilege leave for 2 months & 22 days.
		9th June 1889	31st October 1889	4	22	1st December 1888	8th June 1889	6	8	30	10 ~~22~~	
Mr J. F. Prankerd	1st November 1886	2nd November 1886	15th November 1887	12	13	Time passed in local service						Do Do for 2 months & 6 days.
		1st November 1888	31st October 1889	12	0	15th November 1887	31st October 1888	11	16	24	13	

B.79

Survey No. 47.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6290
14 NOV. 89
24

25

Q.7.8-89-1 1889.

From

The Superintendent
Mysore Rev. Survey.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 13th Nov 1889

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES No. 2
No. 495 of 1889.

Recommending that a Bonus of 3 months pay be granted to Messrs J. P. Barker and H. Butcher Asst Lieut Officers Mysore Rev. Survey.

Subd.—

Bonus is granted by Govt for the reasons given in a.f. Govt Order

Enclosure: Mr Butcher's retirement letter.

16/11

Asst for
Mysore Gardens.
C.M.G.
9/11/89

Submitted Their Highnesses
for orders.

I have personally explained the circumstances under which the claim for a bonus has been suspended by Messrs Barker & Butcher, while at Mysore.

Govt Grants' Do of 23rd July 1890 further states the special grounds on which this recommendation for the grant of a bonus is based.

All the Europ Offrs in the Survey Deptt who were previously retired, & also from the Native Offrs have been granted bonuses. The Vide Memo in this file gives a history of the cases dealt with speedily.

In Madras when Reductions were made in the Revd both Europeans & Natives were sanctioned as stated in Mr Clerk's Govt note d/ 7th Sept

Mr Barker is entitled to the 3 months bonus & Mr Butcher was retired nearly 4 months before he attained his 55th year. Hence Rs 12475 is also deserved of the 3 months bonus. If approved by their Highnesses Bonus should be sanctioned to Messrs Barker & Butcher

23/12/89 24-12-89 14-11-89

No. 495

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore November 1889

(4)

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to for=
=ward in original two letters
from Mr. J. F. Barker and
Mr. E. H. Butcher respect=
=ively regarding the usual
grant of a bonus of three
months pay on retirement
from the Mysore service.
Both officers are of the
rank of Assistant Settle=
=ment Officer and both

have

have been retired on the same date viz 1st November 1889, in accordance with the forecast of Survey operations in Mysore approved of by the Government of India.

2 Both officers have done excellent service during the long period they have been in the Revenue Survey Department, indeed they could not otherwise have attained the position of Assistant Settlement

Settlement Officer. I beg
therefore to recommend
that a bonus of 3 months
pay be granted to Mess.
Barker and Butcher
as has been done in
all similar cases of
retirement hitherto.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obd.t Serv.t

J. D. Hunt

Superintendent
Mysore Rev: Survey.

Songadeen 29
10th Nov 1889

No 6 of 1889

4/6

From
H. S. Ridsdale
Assist Govt Agent
Kyone Ruma Chang
&
The Deputy Commissioner
Ruma Chang

Sir

I have the honor to
request that this day applica-
tion for a Pass of 3
Kiss Hay a granted to
add the European Officers of
the Kyone Ruma Chang
as by guiding the Servants
of the Kyone Government, may
be forwarded through the
Commissioner Ruma Chang
for favorable Consideration
I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most obedt Servt
H. S. Ridsdale

209
11-11-89

30

I. No 65

No: 62 12-11-89.

Forwarded to the Supt: for transmission to the Dewan

J. Rutherford
Deputy Supt:

11/11/89.

Office of the Establishment
Mysore Revenue Survey
Dated 26th October 1889

(416)

To
The Deputy Superintendent
Mysore Revenue Survey

Sir.

I have the honor to request that you will do me the favor to solicit the Dewan to H. H. the Maharajah of Mysore through the Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey, to grant me, on the expiration of my term of service under the Mysore Durbar, the usual bonus of three months' pay which has been given to all Survey Officers on their quitting the service of the State.

184
28-10-89

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. Mather
Asst. Settlement-Officer
Mysore Revenue Survey

No: 491. 3V

I. No 606

30-10-89.

Forwarded for the favourable consideration of the Supt

24.10.89.

J. Mather Jurd
Deputy Supt.

Urgent

References

8.3.90 MK G.7.8-89-2

Sent to Asst Surveyor Gen. Tumkur on 8-3-90

Submitted for orders MK 11.3.90

Sub to the Dewan

11/3

Papers.

13/3

33.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
9509
10 MAR 90
202

Survey No 47.

1880

From The Supdt Rev Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

No. 233 Dated 5th March 1890

Despatched Do (5)

Received

ENCLOSURES No.

No. 759 of 1880.

Requesting that some orde may be passed on the subject of Inam Bonus claimed by d Butcher & Baker

To Asst Surveyor Gen Tumkur

Do Survey

660 1 0 7 5 700 8 7 5

12453-10 7-14

No. 759

(5)

Survey Settts. Office
Bangalore 5th March 1890

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that Messrs Butcher and Baker late Assistant Settlement Officers of the Mysore Revenue Survey have frequently addressed me with reference to their applications for the usual bonus on retirement (Vide this Office letter No. 495 6290 dated 30th November last and to state that I should feel much obliged by some Orders being passed on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant
J. Pringle
S. S. Mysore Rev. Survey

Bangalore.
21st May 1890 (6)

My dear Mr Sheshadriyer

I trouble you again, most unwillingly upon certain matters to which the 3 accompanying files refer
The Officers concerned are entitled to a decision, one way or the other, on the questions which they have submitted. They have applied to me, over and over again, for information as to what was being done and (which made my position some what difficult) clearly showed that they felt much hurt by the silence on the part of Govt. I of course assured them that I had no doubt everything would be satisfactorily settled in due course but I could not explain the reason of the delay. Butcher's case, as I explained to you at Ooty, is really deserving

of sympathy I would earnestly ask you to pass orders on all these cases on each of which I have already given my opinion Whether your decision be favourable to the Officers concerned or the reverse, you may feel assured that what they set store by is early notice being taken of their claims.

The question of the nature of the R.100 allowance affecting Barkers claim to acting allce during Col. Butterfords absence by 4 months and it also affects Butchers pension The whole amount involved in the question is not very serious

Butterfords claim to difference between Civil and Military pay You told me at Otly You had no time to go into I should feel greatly obliged if you would take it up. The suggestion which I made in my D. O. would save all complication and You would be rewarding an Officer who has done the State excellent service

I have sent the files for 87.
ready reference
I trust you do not mind
my bringing these matters
to your notice in this
way – at any rate you must
admit that I seldom trouble
you with unnecessary references.
In the present instances if
you can pass early orders
you will remove the discontent
of the Officers concerned and
personally I shall be
relieved from enquiries which
I find it difficult to reply to.

Yours very sincerely

A D Grant

Col Grant No

Files 2 & 3 are
sheets of the
original files in the
Bureau of Ms are
Smith.
Files 1 & 2
Smith Papers in
Subject

28th June 1890

(7)

My dear Mr Shahadripie

In re Butchers Barbers and Rutherfords claims regarding which you were kindly to promise an early settlement could you, in the event of you being unable yourself to have orders issued for Mr Chambers Chaly the majestic Theatre

Dear Sir

In reply

Dear Officials
I would not urge
You so much in
this matter were
it not that this
non-settlement is
placing me in
a very false position
and I cannot
disguise the fact
that the Officers
concerned are
very dissatisfied

I hope you will
have the goodness
to send Rowland Ross
what was for my
contribution towards
the report on the
Moral and Physical
Degeneracy of Ayrshire &
it will be with him
by next Tuesday — I
cannot get it ready sooner

Yours very truly

D Grant

Hampden
22. 7. 80

(10)

My dear Sir

You must have received a copy of the letter I addressed the President, in regard to the allowance claimed by Major Rutherford and Mr Parker, also the order passed against the last settlement of Mr Watches

There still remains the knotty question touching the payment of various questions settled in correspondence with you respecting bank in 1882, I found that you proposed

dispense with the terms of both
Messrs Hatcher and Banks from 1 Nov 185
so that they both had nearly 6
years previous notice. The terms
of notice I understand,
given are like of 3 months notice
which is usually given when a
reduction of staff is considered ade
But in the case of both these
Officers they have had 6 years
notice and the terms, as
pointed out to me by the Comptroller

is not claimable under the Rules. In the case of Mr Butcher it was said that he is paying a local Office and his pension allowance has the bonus of the Injunction; and the rules in force in Ireland with regard to all the servants office in the case as well with Thompson, thank you to let me know what are the usual grounds on which the bonus is claimed by these two Officers

Sometimes when an Officer at the time of retirement is entitled to 5 months

grandfather as he is allowed to take
the leave and then retire Then
as virtually allowing him 3 months
pay as bonus Please let me
know of Messrs Butcher and
Harker were on the date their
services were dispensed with Cable
to any by so what punkets be

Oblige yours truly I intend
attaching W.H. the Waterboys' ad
Please return this letter also why
W.H. so that I may lay all
the papers before the Engineer

yours truly
A Thomson

W.H. T. Grant
Survey Suppt

Bangalore
23rd July 1890

(11)

My dear Sir

I have received copies of your letter to the Resident disposing of the Asst Settlmt Officers allowance question and also of your letter regarding Col. Rutherfurd's claim to difference between Mil. pay and allowances of rank and consolidated Civil Salary.

I am truly thankful that these matters have been taken up and disposed of.

There now remains, as you observe the question of a Bonus to Messrs Barker and Butcher

[This question is not one which can, in my opinion, be

disposed of under ordinary rules, but solely upon broad principles and upon precedent. Had the two Officers concerned been allowed, as they fully expected when they were nominated to the Survey, to remain in the Mysore Survey until its completion or at any rate until its reduction commenced, there could be no reason (extraordinary service excepted) why the Mysore Govt should compensate them out of the ordinary course, but, as You are well aware, the Firman of 1882 was framed, so far as any European Assistance concerned Govt to meet; in any way, the expenses of the Survey or in connection with its reduction but in order to sanction the policy which the Mysore Govt wished to adopt of employing its own Native Officers in the Dept.

The career of both Officers was shortened in a manner

which they could not possibly have anticipated when they first joined the Dept

In no Survey, under the Bombay system need any Officer, so long as he does his work well, fear lack of employment or his service being dispensed with so long as the Survey is in progress or at any rate until its reduction commences, and there are always two or three Officers (per the case as regards the two Officers now concerned) who are kept on until the very end.

If some Officers, under the Inam Act of 1852, had a longer term of Office than others, they had, as a class the same bonus, longer and probably more valuable service to show

There can be no doubt that the service of all my European Assts was abnormally shortened as regards pay & the bonus has in no case been withheld hitherto. Mr Barber has it is true got an appointment in Coorg on as good pay as he had here but it is temporary and he was sent there

a good deal of expence owing to the hamper.

Mr Butcher's case is different and I strongly recommend liberality in his case. He cannot be said to be a pure local Officer he was not so when he was first appointed but became so on the union of the Govt of India. If he was considered a pure local Officer their terms of pension would not have been offered to his case

I have said fully all am justified in saying and must leave the matter in the hands of Govt. Both Officers concerned have done long and good service as I have already officially reported

I gather from a communication obtained from the Dep. Sec. Sec. Minister of Finance & Commerce Bombay leave as below was due

	months	days
Mr Baker –	2	22
Mr Butcher –	2.	6

Statement attached which the Comptroller cued check

Yours sincerely

H. Grant

T. R. A. Thomson Esq Secy to Govt

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE.

Bangalore 24-7 1890

(12)

Dear Sir

I beg to enclose extracts of leave in the case of Messrs Barker and Butcher It will be seen therefrom that Mr Butcher is not entitled to any leave & that Mr Barker's leave services are mixed up. If the Mysore Service alone be considered he will be entitled to 2 Mrs and days all the papers are herewith returned.

I. R. A Narasimhaiyangar Esq
Off Dewan.

Yours obedt Servt
A Rangaramanuja

42

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,

No

Bangalore, dated 24 July 1890.

Certified that Mr. E. Butcher late Asstt Supdt Mysore Revenue Survey not under the Mysore Government is entitled to any privilege leave

12 1/4

~~Chapter~~ ____ ~~leave~~ under Section 74 of the Civil Leave Code having and returned from furlough on the 9th June/ not rendered 11 months service he had again retired on the 1 31 Oct/89

A Thompson
Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

with reference to

Docket No. dated

Comptroller's Office
Bangalore, Dated 24th July 1890

(124)

Certified that Mr. J. T. Barker Assistant Settlement Officer Mysore Revenue Survey under the Mysore Government returned from privilege leave on the 1st November 1886 rendered service of 1 year month from 1st November 1886 to 30 November 1887, when he was transferred for service in Coorg. He returned to Mysore Service on 1st November 1888, rendered a service of 1 year up to 31st October 1889, on which he was again transferred His total service in Mysore thus amounts to 2 years 1 month.

The privilege leave to which he is entitled must be certified to ~~on~~ obtaining a report from the Account Officer for Coorg as regards his services there. If he have taken no leave, he will be entitled to 3 months leave, the leave allowances should be apportioned to the Mysore and British Revenues.

A Langford
Comptroller
Mysore Government

all

Pd

25/7/90

(13)

My dear Sir

I am almost certain that Mr Barker has had no Answer because since he was transferred to Coorg but in order to leave no doubt on the matter I have this day written to him to find out and will let you know immediately his reply comes.

Yours sincerely

A Smith

(157)

45
Bangalore
9th August
1890

My dear Sir

When reductions took place in the D P W in Madras, the officers ordered to get out were allowed proportionate gratuities according to their pay, bonuses at the rate of one month's pay for each years service, if the service was less than 12 years, & if it was more they were allowed a bonus of 12 months pay

I hope that this information will enable you to dispose of the question raised the other day in regard to the Survey offices.

Yours truly,
W. Greenwood

46

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE.

(16) Bangalore 7 August 1890

My dear Sir

I return herewith the chentsal Roms note we have no copy of the order referred to precedents in favor of keeping Roms are here the cases of survey Peons who were transferred before Messrs Barker & Butcher The remarks of Major Wray asst Resident as regards the keeping of Roms in the case of one Peon contains all the arguments in favor as well as against the claim There is some force in col Grants argu-

X not clear

ment that Means of perfo
to end some the Good
as long as the Sunny
work lasted & that they
had to go away entire ow
the introduction of a nati
Element But the only draw
back to this argument is
that similar considerati
was not shewn to other
uncivilized Races when
united in accomplishi
Undertakings But these Race
perhaps require exceptiona
additional means just as

Orders of the Commission were addressed
duplicate for leave for 18 months & 3 months
leave at Bonn, between which are
enclosed for your kind perusal return

Yours Very Sincerely

A Humphreys

J. R. A Thornburgh Esqre
Offg Dewan

(General Serial No. 30.)

1896

G.7. 8-89-3.

From Financial Commissioner 3/8/96.

To The Assistant to the Resident in Mysore

Dated 11 August

Despatched

Received

(11)

Enclosures No.

General No. 4965

No. 233

Intimating that in recognition of the good work done by Messrs. I. A. Barker & R. H. Butcher in the Mysore Rev. Survey, the Durbar purpose to pay to each of them a bonus equal to his substantive pay for 3 months viz Rs 2,130/= Requesting Sanction of Govt of India to Mr. Barker's receiving the bonus, he being a Britisher Officer

48

2 Drafts

No 496 / 233 - 9 11 - 8 - 90 FB

17

To.

The Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore.

Sir

Messrs J. P. Barker and E. H. Butcher Assistant Superintendents of the 1st Grade and Asstt. Settlement Officers of the Mysore Rev: Survey were retired from 1st November 1889 from the Mysore Service, in accordance with the Forecast of Survey operations in Mysore approved by the Govt of India - In recognition of the good work done by them, the Durbar proposes to pay each of the said officers a bonus equal to ~~three~~ his substantive pay for

three months, which
amounts to Rupees 2130/-
as shewn in the margin
Mr. Barker being a British
Officer, I am desired to refer you to
your office letter no. 2467/3168 dd. 20th July 1888 & to
request that the Resident
may be moved to be so good as to obtain
the sanction of the Govt of
India to his receiving
the bonus of Rs. 2,130 above
mentioned.

(1) Pay of 1st Grade Asst Surgn - Rs 660.
(2) Month[illegible] of a Asst Surgn
Staff Officers allowed
reckoned as pay — 50
Total per month 710
For 3 months 3
2,130

2 Encs

Shimla
11/8/90
Chief Secy

50
2 Drafts

G. 7-8-89-H.

Read:-

Letter No. 495 of the 13th November 1889 from the Superintendent, Revenue Survey -

(15)

4966-7 of 72 / 11-8-90 / 13

Order thereon:-

In recognition of the good work done by Mr. E.D. Butcher Asst. Settlt. Officer, Mysore Revenue Survey, the Govt are pleased to sanction the payment to him of a bonus of Rs 2130 which is equal to his pay for 3 months as shown in the margin

(1) Pay as Asst Supdt 1st grade - 660.
(2) Moiety of Asst Establishment allowance reckoned as pay - 50
Total per month 710
for 3 months - 2130

2. The sanction of the Govt of India has been applied for, for the grant of similar bonus to Mr J. P. Barker -

3. Under the spirit of the rule contained in clause 2 of section 104 of the Civil Pension Code,

Submitted to
A.G.G.

51 877

Mr. Butcher's pension should commence from 1st February 1890

Chief Secy

To. The Superintendent Mysore Revn Survey

The Comptroller:

Subject to a Govt order

1890.

To: The Superintendent Mysore Revn Survey

& Comptroller

319 Dated 11/8/90

11/8/90

Forwarded for Sanction by 11th August.

4966-7

72

Passing orders, stating that in recognition of the good work done by Mr E. K. Butcher of the Rev: Survey, the Govt are pleased to sanctn the payt to him of a pension of Rs. 2,130/- that the sanctn of the Govt of India has been applied for, for the grant of a similar pension to Mr J. A. Barker, & that Mr Butcher's pension shd commence from 1st Feby 1890

No. 47. 52



188 90

From Superintendent
The Revenue Survey and Assessment,
Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated
Despatched } 19th August 90
Received

Enclosures No. 3/90 (19)

No. of 1890.

L. 1. 8-89-5

6092-8-89-96

Asking the Govt. to reconsider Para 3 of Order No. 4966-7 dated 11th Instant regarding Mr. E. A Butcher's Pension.

L Mutter 20/8.

To the Hd Clerk as
Hd Asst
for Atty 20/8/90

Mr Hutton, as already mentioned in a recent letter was as his pension has also the term of the Mysore Govt he cannot be allowed to draw from the Mysore Govt his pension of 3 months pay pension for the same period — Under these circumstances there seems to be no good reason to reconsider the order already passed

Dunlop 21/8

3 months pay

AE 21-8-90

22/8/90 GM

No. 319

Survey Supt's Office
Bangalore 19th August 1890

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

(17)

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Government of Mysore Order No. 4966-7/72 dated 11th Instant sanctioning the payment to Mrs. E. H. Butcher, late Assistant Settlement Officer of a bonus of Rs. 2,130

2 I beg to be informed whether I am to understand that under Para 3 of the Proceedings above quoted it is definitely de-

cided that Mr Butcher's Pension

shall commence from 1st February 1890.

As observed in the Order only the

spirit of Section 104 (2) is held

to apply to Mr Butcher, whose case,

as a matter of fact, does not in

any way come under the section

quoted. Mr Butcher had notice

from the time of the original fore-

-cast, that his services would be

dispensed with on the 1st November

1889. As the grant of a Bonus to

Mr Butcher, I have al-

ready observed, quite outside

the Ordinary Pension Rules and

of an exceptional character, I

would

would very respectfully ask
Government to reconsider Para 3
of the Order of 11th August 1890.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedt. Servant

Supdt Mysore Rad. Survey

(20)

Read.

G.T.8-89-6

Govt Proceedings No 4966-72 dated 11 August 1890, sanctioning a bonus of Rs 2,130 to Mr. E. H. Butcher, Asstt Settlt Officer, Mysore Revenue Survey and directing that his pension should commence from 1st February 1890.

Letter No 319 of the 19 August 1890 from the Survey Superintendent, requesting a reconsideration of the above order as regards the date of the commencement of Mr Butcher's pension.

097-8/86 925-8-90/30

Order thereon

As already remarked, Mr. Butcher is a local officer and as his pension has also to be borne by the Mysore Govt., he cannot

57

be allowed to draw both pension and three months' pay as bonus for one and the same period Under these circumstances, there seems to be no good reason to reconsider the order already passed

29/8/90
Chief Secy

25/8/90

To. The Supdt. of Rev. Survey
" The Comptroller.

F. 79

4

1890

To, The Superintendent
Revenue Survey
& — a Comptroller.

W/ 2 Dft. Requisn

Do 49.97-0
96

stating that Mr. Butcher cannot be allowed to draw both pension and these months pay as bonus for one & the same period.

A. 74

(General Serial No. 30).

189 0.

OFFICE OF THE
6469
3 OCT 90
SECRETARIAT OF MY OFFICE

From The Acting Resident in Bugaya

To

G. 7. 8-89-7

Dated 3rd October 1890

Despatched

Received

21

Enclosures 1

General No. 3283

No. 35-2. 90

Conveying the sanction of the Govt of India to the acceptance by Messrs JP Barker & L. Butcher Lady of the Bugaya Revenue Survey, of the bonus of Rs 2180 each which the Barkers propose to give them.

manager
Put up a draft for
Comptroller
21
6/10/90

A2
3-10-90

58

No $\frac{3283}{352\cdot90}$

FROM

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

(21)

TO

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 3 October 1890

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. $\frac{4965}{233}$ dated the 11th August 1890, I am directed to con the sanction of the Government of India to the acceptance by Messrs. J. O. Barker and E. Bute, late of the Mysore Revenue Survey, of the hon Rs 2130/- each, which the Darbar propose to give them in recognition of their good work.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant,

L. S. Newmarch

Assistant to the Resident

1/874

(General Serial No. 30)

59

1890

From

To The Comptroller
" Supt Ker Survey

G.7. 8-89-8.

Dated 6 Oct

Despatched 9

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8183 (8206 - A
No. 567 109

Has the honor to intimate that in letter No 320/352 dated 3 October 1890, the ~~Govt of India~~ Resident has conveyed the sanction of the Govt of India to the acceptance by Messrs Barker & Butcher late of the Rev: Survey of the bonus of Rs 2130/ each proposed to be given them in recognition of their good work.

I have
under